REMARKS

In view of the above amendments and the following remarks, reconsideration and further examination are respectfully requested.

I. Title of the Invention

In accordance with Examiner's request, the title of the invention has been amended. The invention is now titled "ILLUMINATING LIGHT SOURCE INCLUDING A LIGHT INTENSITY MODULATOR THAT OSCILLATES A LIGHT FROM A COHERENT LIGHT SOURCE IN A NON-INTEGRAL MULTIPLE OF ONE CYCLE AND TWO-DIMENSIONAL IMAGE DISPLAY USING THE SAME."

II. Amendment to the Drawings

As mentioned above, a proposed drawing amendment is submitted herewith under a separate cover letter. Specifically, Figure 6 has been amended to correct a typographical error by replacing reference numeral "109" identifying the light collection lens with reference numeral "9." No new matter has been added.

III. Amendments to the Claims

By this amendment claims 13, 19 and 24 have been cancelled without prejudice or disclaimer of the subject matter contained therein.

Further, dependent claims 16, 17 and 20 have been amended to depend from allowed independent claim 18.

IV. Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 18 and 25 were identified by the Examiner as being allowable. The Applicants would like to thank the Examiner for this indication of allowability. As mentioned above, non-allowed independent claims 13 and 24 have been cancelled. In addition, allowed claims 18 and 25 remain unchanged. Further, dependent claims 16, 17 and 20 have been amended to depend from allowed claim 18, rather than cancelled claim 13.

Accordingly, in view of the Examiner's indication of allowable subject matter as discussed above, it is submitted that amended independent claims 18 and 25 are allowable. Furthermore, it is submitted that claims 16, 17 and 20 are also allowable in view of their dependence on allowed claim 18.

V. 35 U.S.C. § 102 Rejection

Claims 13, 16, 7, 20 and 24 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) as being anticipated by Gerhard (U.S. 6,140,979). This rejection is believed moot in view of the cancellation of independent claims 13 and 24 and in view of the above-mentioned indication of allowability. As a result, withdrawal of this rejection is respectfully requested.

VI. 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) Rejection

Claim 19 was rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Gerhard.

This rejection is believed moot in view of the above-mentioned cancellation of claim 19. As a result, withdrawal of this rejection is respectfully requested.

VII. Conclusion

In view of the above amendments and remarks, it is submitted that the present application is now in condition for allowance and an early notification thereof is earnestly requested. The Examiner is invited to contact the undersigned by telephone to resolve any remaining issues.

Respectfully submitted,

Ken'ichi KASAZUMI et al.

/Andrew L. Dunlap/ By ______

Andrew L. Dunlap Registration No. 60,554 Attorney for Applicants

ALD/led Washington, D.C. 20005-1503 Telephone (202) 721-8200 Facsimile (202) 721-8250 October 30, 2009